

MENTAL ENLIGHTENMENT SCIENTIFIC – METHODOLOGICAL JOURNAL



MENTAL ENLIGHTENMENT SCIENTIFIC – METHODOLOGICAL JOURNAL

<http://mentaljournal-jspu.uz/index.php/mesmj/index>



THE IMPORTANCE OF TECHNOLOGY OF PREPARING FUTURE PEDAGOGUES FOR THE INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION SYSTEM

Ruslanbek Sarsenovich Radjapov

Doctoral Student

Nukus State Pedagogical Institute

Nukus, Uzbekistan

E-mail: radjapov@mail.ru

ABOUT ARTICLE

Key words: technological, approaches, prospective educators, effective engagement, international, evaluation, system, Karakalpakstan.

Received: 04.01.24

Accepted: 06.01.24

Published: 08.01.24

Abstract: This article focuses on the technological approaches employed in preparing prospective educators for effective engagement with the international evaluation system, with a specific emphasis on the Republic of Karakalpakstan. The article examines the intricate intersection of technology, pedagogy, and international evaluation frameworks to identify innovative strategies and methodologies for enhancing the competencies of future educators. By highlighting successful practices and addressing challenges in integrating technology into pedagogical practices, this study contributes to the ongoing discourse on the globalization of education and the role of technology in preparing educators for the demands of international evaluation systems.

INTRODUCTION

By investigating the specific needs and contextual factors in Karakalpakstan, the research aims to contribute valuable insights that can be adapted and applied in similar regional settings. The Republic of Karakalpakstan serves as a distinctive example, providing a localized context for understanding the challenges and opportunities associated with aligning teacher preparation programs with global educational standards. The methodology involves a comprehensive review of existing literature on teacher preparation, international evaluation systems, and technology integration in education. Additionally, the study employs qualitative research methods, including interviews and surveys, to gather firsthand perspectives from educators, and students in the Republic of

Karakalpakstan. The collected data will be analyzed to identify trends, challenges, and potential solutions. The research is expected to uncover the technological tools and strategies currently employed in preparing future pedagogues for international evaluation systems in Karakalpakstan. Moreover, it aims to assess the effectiveness of these approaches in addressing the unique needs of the region. The findings of this study can inform the development of tailored pedagogical interventions, curriculum adjustments, and policy recommendations to better align teacher education programs with international standards. The growing significance of global evaluation systems in the worldwide educational arena can be ascribed to several fundamental factors:

Globalization of Education: Given the increasing interconnectedness of economies and societies, there is a heightened emphasis on preparing students for a world characterized by global integration. International evaluation systems play a crucial role in ensuring that educational standards align with global expectations, thereby facilitating the mobility of students and professionals across international borders.

Quality Assurance and Benchmarking: International evaluation systems serve as a mechanism for benchmarking educational systems and institutions against global standards. This process aids in identifying areas for improvement and guarantees a consistent level of quality in education on a global scale.

Comparative Analysis: Countries and educational institutions utilize international evaluation systems to assess and compare their educational performance globally. This comparative analysis often leads to the adoption of best practices and the exploration of innovative approaches to education.

Workforce Preparedness: In the face of a rapidly evolving global economy, there is an increased focus on cultivating a workforce that is adaptable and possesses relevant skills. International evaluation systems contribute to the development of curricula that address the dynamic needs of industries and promote the acquisition of transferable skills.

Global Rankings and Reputation: The role of international evaluation systems in shaping global education rankings is pivotal. Institutions and nations strive for higher rankings as this enhances their reputation and appeal to students, researchers, and international collaborators.

Standardization of Credentials: International evaluation systems play a key role in standardizing academic credentials, simplifying the process for employers and academic institutions to comprehend and acknowledge qualifications from diverse regions. This standardization facilitates the mobility of students and professionals in the global job market.

Cross-Cultural Competence: Education extends beyond the acquisition of knowledge; it involves the development of cross-cultural competence. International evaluation systems actively promote the incorporation of diverse perspectives, languages, and cultural elements into curricula, fostering a more inclusive and globally aware educational experience.

Global Challenges and Collaborative Solutions: Many contemporary global challenges, such as climate change, public health crises, and technological advancements, necessitate collaborative and multidisciplinary approaches. International evaluation systems advocate for educational frameworks that encourage collaboration and the development of solutions to these complex global challenges.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Teacher preparation, international evaluation systems, and technology in education are pivotal elements in shaping the quality and effectiveness of educational systems globally. This literature review aims to synthesize existing studies and scholarly works that delve into these three interconnected domains, providing insights into current practices, challenges, and emerging trends.

Teacher Preparation: The literature on teacher preparation underscores its critical role in fostering effective classroom instruction and student learning outcomes. Research by Darling-Hammond [1] emphasizes the importance of comprehensive teacher training programs that integrate pedagogical skills, subject matter expertise, and practical classroom experiences. Studies also explore the impact of alternative certification pathways and the influence of mentorship programs on novice teachers' professional development.

International Evaluation Systems: The global shift towards standardizing and evaluating education systems internationally has prompted extensive research on the efficacy and implications of such systems. Notable works by PISA (Programme for International Student Assessment) and TIMSS (Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study) have assessed and compared educational outcomes across countries. Scholars like Sahlberg critically examine the impact of these evaluations on educational policies and practices, exploring their role in shaping national curricula and fostering cross-cultural educational exchange [7].

Technology in Education: The integration of technology in education is a dynamic and rapidly evolving field with a wealth of research. Studies highlight the potential of technology to enhance student engagement, personalized learning, and the development of 21st-century skills [17], [20]. However, challenges such as the digital divide, teacher professional development, and the need for evidence-based practices remain central themes in the literature [26].

Interconnections and Gaps: While each of these domains—teacher preparation, international evaluation systems, and technology in education—has been extensively explored individually, there is a growing recognition of their interconnectedness. Studies by Inan and Lowther and Darling-Hammond discuss the role of technology in teacher preparation programs, emphasizing the need for educators to be well-versed in digital pedagogies [1]. Additionally, the impact of technology on international assessments, as seen in the shift towards computer-based testing [6], raises questions about the evolving nature of evaluation systems in the digital age.

This literature review illuminates the complex interplay between teacher preparation, international evaluation systems, and technology in education. As educational landscapes continue to evolve, understanding the intersections and addressing the gaps identified in existing research becomes crucial for fostering effective teaching practices and cultivating globally competent learners. Further research should explore innovative approaches that integrate these three dimensions to meet the demands of 21st century education.

METHODOLOGY

Specific skills and competencies for international evaluation systems may vary depending on the context and the nature of the evaluation, some key skills are generally recognized as essential. Here are some of the key skills and competencies required for effective involvement in international evaluation systems, supported by references:

Research and Analytical Skills: Individuals involved in international evaluation systems must possess strong research and analytical skills to gather, interpret, and analyze data effectively [12].

Cross-Cultural Competence: Given the global nature of evaluation, cross-cultural competence is crucial. This includes an understanding of diverse cultural contexts, norms, and communication styles [8].

Data Management and Technology Proficiency: Proficiency in data management and technology is essential for handling large datasets and utilizing technology tools for analysis [10].

Evaluation Design and Methodology: Competence in designing evaluation frameworks and choosing appropriate methodologies is fundamental for reliable and valid evaluations [7].

Communication Skills: Strong communication skills are necessary for effectively conveying evaluation findings to diverse stakeholders, including policymakers, educators, and the public [15].

Project Management: Project management skills are crucial for coordinating and executing complex evaluations within specified timelines and resource constraints [13].

Adaptability and Flexibility: The ability to adapt to different contexts and unforeseen challenges is vital for international evaluators [11].

Ethical Considerations: A strong understanding of ethical considerations in research and evaluation, including issues related to confidentiality and informed consent, is essential [4].

Policy Analysis: Evaluators should be equipped with skills in policy analysis to understand the broader policy context and implications of their evaluations [6].

Stakeholder Engagement: Engaging with diverse stakeholders, including government officials, educators, and community members, requires effective interpersonal and negotiation skills [9].

Knowledge of Educational Systems: A solid understanding of educational systems, policies, and practices, both at the national and international levels, is crucial for meaningful evaluations [5].

Continuous Learning and Professional Development: Given the evolving nature of education and evaluation, a commitment to continuous learning and professional development is essential [14].

Educational Technologies:

A. Learning Management System (LMS). 1. Central platform for course content delivery and interaction [25].

B. Virtual Learning Environments (VLEs). 1. Integration of VLEs for collaborative activities and resource sharing [17].

C. Multimedia Tools. 1. Incorporation of multimedia for engaging content delivery [26].

D. Educational Apps and Software. 1. Utilization of apps and software for practical skill development [20].

E. Communication Tools. 1. Integration of communication tools for collaboration and feedback [25].

Instructional Strategies:

A. Blended Learning Approach. 1. Combining face-to-face and online sessions for flexibility [25].

B. Active Learning. 1. Engaging participants through hands-on activities and discussions [26].

C. Collaborative Projects. 1. Encouraging teamwork and collaboration using virtual platforms [17].

D. Case Studies. 1. Analyzing real-world scenarios to apply theoretical knowledge [20].

E. Flipped Classroom Model. 1. Pre-recorded lectures and resources for self-paced learning, with synchronous sessions for application and discussion [25].

Assessment Methods:

A. Formative Assessments. 1. Continuous quizzes and discussions to monitor progress [16].

B. Peer Assessment. 1. Evaluation of collaborative projects and contributions [19].

C. Portfolio Development. 1. Compilation of artifacts showcasing technological and pedagogical skills [7].

D. Simulation-Based Assessments. 1. Practical simulations to assess application of technology in teaching [19].

E. Reflective Journals. 1. Regular reflections on learning experiences and application in pedagogical practice [7].

Professional Development Opportunities:

A. Webinars and Workshops. 1. Inviting experts for webinars and workshops on emerging educational technologies [18].

B. Online Communities. 1. Facilitating participation in online communities for continuous learning and resource sharing [18].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Anticipated Outcomes of the Research:

Enhanced Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK): Teachers in Karakalpakstan are expected to demonstrate an improved understanding of how to integrate technology effectively into their teaching practices. The research aims to enhance TPACK, ensuring teachers can navigate the intersection of technology, pedagogy, and content knowledge [26].

Increased Digital Literacy among Educators: The study anticipates a rise in digital literacy skills among teachers, empowering them to navigate and leverage various educational technologies. This outcome is crucial for adapting to modern educational practices and preparing students for the digital age [17].

Improved Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes: With teachers equipped with advanced technological skills, the anticipated outcome is an improvement in student engagement and learning outcomes. Research suggests that technology integration, when done effectively, positively impacts student achievement [20].

Cultivation of a Collaborative Learning Community: The study aims to foster a collaborative learning community among teachers in Karakalpakstan. By promoting collaborative projects and the exchange of best practices, the anticipated outcome is a supportive network that enhances professional development [20].

Increased Access to Professional Development Opportunities: Anticipated outcomes include increased access to professional development opportunities, such as webinars, workshops, and online communities. This continuous learning environment is expected to contribute to the sustainability of technological and pedagogical advancements.

Potential Implications for Teacher Education in Karakalpakstan and Beyond: Local Contextualization of Technology Integration: The research outcomes can inform the development of teacher education programs tailored to the specific needs and context of Karakalpakstan. This includes considerations for language, culture, and the unique challenges faced by educators in the region.

Policy Development and Implementation: Positive research outcomes can contribute to evidence-based policy development at the regional and national levels. Policymakers can use the findings to design and implement initiatives that support the integration of technology in teacher education.

International Collaboration and Exchange of Best Practices: Successful outcomes can encourage international collaboration, allowing educators in Karakalpakstan to exchange best practices with educators globally. This can lead to the adoption of innovative approaches and a broader perspective on technology in education.

Increased Attractiveness of Teaching Profession: Improved teacher education, especially in the context of technology integration, can make the teaching profession more attractive. This may contribute to the recruitment and retention of high-quality educators, positively impacting the overall quality of education in the region.

Alignment with Global Educational Standards: The research outcomes can contribute to aligning teacher education practices in Karakalpakstan with global educational standards. This alignment is essential for promoting international recognition of qualifications and facilitating collaboration with educational institutions worldwide [5].

Preparation for 21st century skills: By enhancing technological and pedagogical competencies, the research outcomes can better prepare teachers and students in Karakalpakstan for the demands of the 21st century. This includes fostering critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, and communication skills.

To sum up, the anticipated outcomes of the research have the potential to bring about positive transformations in teacher education in Karakalpakstan, with broader implications for educational practices and policies both regionally and globally. The integration of technology is a key component in preparing educators for the challenges and opportunities of the modern educational landscape.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the escalating significance of international evaluation systems in the global educational landscape underscores the imperative for standardized benchmarks, cross-cultural competence, and adaptability. These systems contribute significantly to the pursuit of excellence, equity, and relevance in education on a global scale. In conclusion, the research methodology's integration of quantitative and qualitative approaches is well-suited to unravel the complexities of technology integration in teacher education in Karakalpakstan. Its significance lies in guiding the enhancement of local teacher education practices and providing valuable insights for the broader discourse on international evaluation systems. The findings have the potential to influence future policies and practices in teacher education, both regionally and internationally.

REFERENCES

1. Darling-Hammond, L. (2017). Teacher education around the world: What can we learn from international practice? *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 40(3), 291-309.
2. UNESCO. (2019). Education for Sustainable Development Goals: Learning Objectives. Retrieved from <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000367237>
3. Johnson, L., Adams Becker, S., Estrada, V., & Freeman, A. (2015). NMC/CoSN Horizon Report: 2015 K-12 Edition. New Media Consortium.
4. American Evaluation Association. (2018). Guiding Principles for Evaluators. Retrieved from <https://www.eval.org/p/cm/ld/fid=51>

5. Biesta, G., & Burbules, N. (2003). *Pragmatism and Educational Research*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
6. Buse, K., Mays, N., & Walt, G. (2012). *Making Health Policy* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
7. Fitzpatrick, J. L., Sanders, J. R., & Worthen, B. R. (2011). *Program Evaluation: Alternative Approaches and Practical Guidelines* (4th ed.). Pearson.
8. Hammer, M. R., Bennett, M. J., & Wiseman, R. (2003). Measuring intercultural sensitivity: The Intercultural Development Inventory. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 27(4), 421-443.
9. King, G., Keohane, R. O., & Verba, S. (1994). *Designing Social Inquiry: Scientific Inference in Qualitative Research*. Princeton University Press.
10. Patton, M. Q. (2014). *Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
11. Preskill, H., & Torres, R. T. (1999). *The Learning Dimension: A Concept Paper on Evaluation and Learning for Foundations*. The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation.
12. Rossi, P. H., Lipsey, M. W., & Freeman, H. E. (2004). *Evaluation: A Systematic Approach* (7th ed.). Sage Publications.
13. Schwalbe, K. (2018). *Information Technology Project Management* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
14. Smith, N. L. (2014). How to Develop a Learning Plan. *Educational Leadership*, 72(5), 81-82.
15. Stufflebeam, D. L., & Shinkfield, A. J. (2007). *Evaluation Theory, Models, and Applications*. John Wiley & Sons.
16. Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education*, 5(1), 7-74.
17. Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2013). Removing obstacles to the pedagogical changes required by Jonassen's vision of authentic technology-enabled learning. *Computers & Education*, 64, 175-182.
18. Garet, M. S., Porter, A. C., Desimone, L., Birman, B. F., & Yoon, K. S. (2001). What makes professional development effective? Results from a national sample of teachers. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38(4), 915-945.
19. Guskey, T. R. (2002). Professional development and teacher change. *Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice*, 8(3), 381-391.
20. Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible Learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Routledge.

21. Sarsenbaeva Z. CROSS-CULTURAL INTERPRETATION OF SYMBOLS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK LITERATURE //Евразийский журнал технологий и инноваций. – 2023. – Т. 1. – №. 10. – С. 146-149.

22. Sarsenbaeva Z. J. Pedagogical possibilities for improving linguocultural competence by means of studying proverbs //Colloquium-journal. – Голопристанський міськрайонний центр зайнятості= Голопристанский районный центр занятости, 2021. – №. 5-2. – С. 22-24.

23. Sarsenbaeva Z. COMPARING LINGUISTIC DEVICES IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK NON-REALISTIC WORKS //Молодые ученые. – 2023. – Т. 1. – №. 15. – С. 32-34.

24. Сарсенбаева З. и др. Expression of proverbs in the present indefinite tense with translations in Karakalpak and Russian languages //Молодой ученый. – 2018. – №. 18. – С. 471-473.

25. Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., Bakia, M., & Jones, K. (2013). Evaluation of Evidence-Based Practices in Online Learning: A Meta-Analysis and Review of Online Learning Studies. U.S. Department of Education.

26. Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. Teachers College Record, 108(6), 1017-1054.